

Trakia Journal of Sciences, No 3, pp 232-237, 2017 Copyright © 2017 Trakia University Available online at: http://www.uni-sz.bg

ISSN 1313-7050 (print) ISSN 1313-3551 (online)

doi:10.15547/tjs.2017.03.009

Original Contribution

PSYCHOSOCIAL FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH CHRONIC DISEASE SELF-EFFICACY IN PATIENTS WITH RHEUMATIC DISEASES

K. Mineva*, B. Petrova

Department of Medical Psychology and Foreign Languages, Faculty of Medicine, Trakia University, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

ABSTRACT

Self-efficacy is central to the management of chronic disease. **Purpose:** The purpose of the study is to identify the influence of optimism, general self-efficacy and perceived social support on self-efficacy for managing chronic disease in patients with rheumatic diseases. **Methods:** A cross-sectional design was used. 113 rheumatic disease patients completed instruments related to chronic disease self-efficacy, general self-efficacy, optimism and negative expectancies, perceived social support and demographic/clinical variables. **Results:** Optimism, general self-efficacy and perceived social support significantly and positively influence chronic disease self-efficacy. Behavioral, cognitive and affective mechanism of these factors influence on self-efficacy is discussed. Newly diagnosed patients perceived lower competence to manage symptoms and particular the pain. **Conclusions:** Chronic-disease self-efficacy is influenced by personal (optimism, general self-efficacy) and social factors (supportive social environment) but not by clinical and demographic factors.

Key words: chronic disease self-efficacy, rheumatic diseases

INTRODUCTION

Chronic disease self-efficacy refers to the subjective assessment of the resources and abilities of patients to organize and implement activities to control the disease (1). In addition to self-regulation of illness behavior, self-efficacy influences on cognitive and emotional processes, motivation and physiological condition (1). In patients with chronic diseases it is significantly associated with positive illness perception (2-4). Patients with higher self-efficacy perceived lighter symptoms and consequences of the disease, disease as more controllable by own behavior and treatment, understand better illness and experience less distress (5).

In patients with rheumatic diseases self-efficacy significantly affect the assessment of severity of symptoms and the degree of physical impairment. Low self-efficacy is significantly related to the perception of more intensive pain, stiffness, fatigue and especially with severely impaired functional capacity (6-8). Self-efficacy predicted somatic and mental health. Brekke et al. (2001) found that initially measured higher self-efficacy in 815 patients

*Correspondence to: Kremena Mineva, Faculty of Medicine, Trakia University, 11 Armejska Str., Stara Zagora 6000, Bulgaria 35942/664 324, kremenamineva@abv.bg with rheumatoid arthritis predict the perception of less pain, mild disability, less distress and better physical functioning after 2 years when control demographic and initial health status factors (9). In addition, patients with low perceived efficacy assessed more negatively current and future health status as well (6). Chronic disease self-efficacy determines the degree of stressful impact of the disease and the level of distress in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Patients with high self-efficacy perceive the disease as less restrictive to physical and mental functioning and tend to experience less distress (10).

Besides the somatic and mental health selfefficacy is a significant factor for the implementation of adaptive strategies for selfregulation of illness behavior. treatment adherence and it is associated with the tendency of patients to take better self-care (11, 12). All these data confirm that selfefficacy is a factor associated with better health and psychosocial adaptation to illness. As a dynamic system of beliefs, chronic disease self-efficacy is formed by personal and social factors. The aim of this study was to determine the influence of optimism, general self-efficacy and social support on the perceived competence of patients with rheumatic diseases to manage illness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants:

Patients who provided informed consent were involved in the study. 113 patients with rheumatic diseases participated in the study: 76 patients with ankylosing spondylitis and 37 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, age 25-80 (Mean=48.9, SD=13.04), 53 male and 60 female.

Methods:

- **1.** Optimism and Negative expectancies Inventory (13)
- **2.** General Self-Efficacy scale (14)
- **3.** Self-Efficacy Chronic Disease Scales Stanford Patient Education Research (15)
- **4.** Perceived social support scale MOS:SSSI (16)

Statistical methods used: Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality; ANOVA, Nonparametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskall Wallis test, Cronbach's alpha coefficient of reliability for the items in questionnaire. P value of less than 0.05 was considered as significant. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 19 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis by Mann-Whitney test found significant differences in perceived self-efficacy for managing disease depending on the level of general self-efficacy. General efficacy refers to stable beliefs about personal ability to cope with difficult and new situations. Patients who perceived themselves as more capable to cope with difficulties in general perceived themselves as more capable to manage the disease, except the ability to maintain physical activity, get information about the disease from public sources and communicate with physicians (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Differences in chronic disease self efficacy means depending on the level of general self-efficacy

Chronic disease self-efficacy components	Mann-Whitney U	Exact. Sig.
Maintain psysical activities	U=128.5	P=0.13
Get information about disease	U=161.5	P=0.55
Get help from community	U=105.5	P=0.02
Communicate with physician	U=155.5	P=0.45
Manage illness in general	U=50	P=0.0001
Manage daily activities	U=93.5	P=0.011
Participate in social activities	U=78	P=0.002
Manage symptoms	U=88	P=0.007
Manage depression	U=65.5	P=0.001

The result can be explained by a coherent and hierarchical structure of the cognitive system. According to cognitive theory, specific beliefs are subordinate to generalized. People transform information from a specific past experience into cognitive schemes and patterns that affect cognitive processing. So general self-efficacy influences information processing in a particular situation determinates its emotional impact and the way the information is organized and maintained for future use (17).

Optimism is a significant factor for the formation of a higher self-efficacy about communicating with doctors (U=74,500; P=0.015), managing disease (U= 33; P=0.0001), symptoms (U=53; P= 0.002) and depression (U=34; P= 0.0001), as well as participating in social activities (U= 60; P= 0.004). The relationship between optimism and chronic disease self-efficacy can be explained

behavioral, cognitive and affective mechanisms of influence of generalized expectations on disease adaptation. In literature there is evidence that optimists have a more active illness behavior, experience less distress and tend to cognitively reconstruct negative thoughts about the disease and symptoms (18). They form a positive illness perception (5, 19, 20, 21). Generalized positive expectations are interrelated with the ability to set goals, action, maintain motivation and use of problemfocused coping (22, 23). Active behavior increases the likelihood of achieving positive results, which reinforces the beliefs of personal efficacy by reference to the successful past experiences. For its part, the implementation of more effective strategies to tackle the problem and positive expectations about its results in the future are associated with lower levels of stress and positive well-being (24). Besides behavioral and affective mechanisms optimistic attitude towards the event or life in

general, is a significant factor for cognitive adaptation to stressful situation Generalized expectations are associated with automatic information processing and preferences to information stimuli Optimists prefer to focus their attention on stimuli associated with prosperity, while pessimists- mainly with stimuli related to threats. Preferences in the process of information processing influence emotional reactions, beliefs about the situation and behavior. Optimism is associated with a positive assessment of the current humanenvironment interaction and can enhance self-assessment of coping abilities (27).

We found statistically significant differences in chronic disease self-efficacy depending on the level of social support (Table 2). Patients who perceived their social environment as more supportive are more confident in personal ability to obtain help from community, to communicate with physicians, to manage daily activities, symptoms and distress and participate in social life.

Table 2. Differences in chronic disease self-efficacy means depending on the level of social support

Chronic disease self-efficacy components	Mann-Whitney U	Exact. Sig.
Maintain psysical activities	U=92	P=0.28
Get information about disease	U=71	P=0.05
Get help from community	U=13.5	P=0.0001
Communicate with physician	U=41	P=0.001
Manage illness in general	U=29.5	P=0.0001
Manage daily activities	U=70	P=0.05
Participate in social activities	U=46	P=0.003
Manage symptoms	U=66.5	P=0.033
Manage depression	U=48	P=0.004

Other authors also found that social support reinforces the beliefs about more effective disease management. For example social support moderately positive and significantly correlates with chronic disease self-efficacy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Patients who perceived social group as more supportive evaluate the disease as less stressful and themselves as more competent to manage it These results are established (10).independently of diagnosis. For example, social support is positively associated with higher self-efficacy in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (28) and predicts its level (29). Xiuzhen and Fei (2016) found by multiple linear regression analysis that perceived social support, combined with the general self-efficacy and the level of depression, significantly predict chronic disease self-efficacy and explain 29% of its variance in 159 patients with chronic heart failure (29).

Efficacy beliefs are product of cognitive efficacy information processing from successful past experience, observing the successful role model, verbal persuasion from sources of social influence and the physiological and emotional states (1). Social support can increase the self-efficacy beliefs by verbal persuasion about capabilities for

disease control or encouragement to implement new strategies for effective health care. Social environment reduces anxiety and physiological reactions to stress and support the adaptation to stressful event by providing resources (30).

The analysis found no differences in selfefficacy, depending on the diagnosis, number of hospitalizations, hospitalization at the time of the study, gender, age and education level of the patients. Illness duration significantly influence on perceived competence to manage symptoms (chi Square = 10.305, P = 0.03) and particular the pain. Patients diagnosed from a year ago perceive themselves as less capable to manage symptoms and perceive pain as more uncontrollable in comparison with patients with longer illness duration. That competence increases with illness duration probably due to the fact that patients learn to apply different strategies to cope with the pain. Petkova et al (2010) in a study of 48 patients with cancer also found that younger patients with shorter illness duration perceive pain as more intense and uncontrollable compared with these with longer illness duration (31).

The manifestations of acute pain which becomes chronic is the main and distressing symptom of rheumatic diseases. Pain can be described by multidimensional model with sensory, cognitive and affective component (32). The cognitive dimension refers to the way patients think about their pain, evaluate its intensity, consequences and personal meaning and their expectations of personal efficacy to deal with it. In patients with chronic pain, competence of managing pain predicts its intensity (33, 34). Patients who perceived as more capable to control the pain, experienced less distress and associated disease with milder symptoms (35-39). Perceived pain control may partially affect physical dysfunctions, treatment outcomes, coping with pain and adaptation to diseases. It follows that cognitive-behavioral interventions may be appropriate for enhancing the beliefs and skills to manage symptoms in patients with rheumatic diseases especially in the first year of illness duration.

CONCLUSIONS

- Chronic-disease self-efficacy is influenced by personal and social factors instead of diagnosis and demographic characteristics of patients.
- 2. Optimism, general self-efficacy and supportive social environment are protective psychosocial factors that increase chronic disease self-efficacy.
- 3. Medical specialists should pay attention to perceived self-efficacy for managing symptoms (pain control) in order to facilitate adaptation to disease in newly diagnosed patients with rheumatic diseases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bandura, A, Self-efficacy: The exercise of control, Freeman, New York, 1997.
- 2. Schüz, B., Wurm, S., Warner, L., M., and Ziegelmann, J., P., Self-efficacy and multiple illness representations in older adults: A multilevel approach. *Psychology and Health*, 27:13-29, 2012.
- 3. Bonsaksen T, Lerdal A, Fagermoen MS., Factors associated with self-efficacy in persons with chronic illness. *Scand J Psychol*, 53(4):333-339, 2012.
- 4. Aaltoa Anna-Mari, Monique Heijmans, John Weinman, Arja R.Aro, llness perceptions in coronary heart disease: Sociodemographic, illness-related, and psychosocial correlates. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 58 (5): 393–402, 2005.
- 5. Mineva, K., Illness perception in patients with chronic diseases. PhD Thesis, Trakia University, Bulgaria, 2016.
- 6. Cross, M.J., L. M. March, H. M. Lapsley, E. Byrne and P. M. Brooks, Patient self-

- efficacy and health locus of control:relationships with health status and arthritis-related expenditure. *Rheumatology*, 45:92–96, 2006, doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kei114
- 7. Mäkeläinen, P., K Vehviläinen-Julkunen, A Pietilä, A Survey of Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients Self-Efficacy. *The Internet Journal of Advanced Nursing Practice*, Vol. 9 (2) 2007.
- 8. Woby, S., Roach, N., Urmston, M., & Watson, P., The relation between cognitive factors and levels of pain and disability in chronic low back pain patients presenting for physiotherapy. *European Journal of Pain*, 11: 869–877, 2007.
- 9. Brekke M, Hjortdahl P, Kvien TK., Self-efficacy and health status in rheumatoid arthritis: a two-year longitudinal observational study. *Rheumatology*, 40:387-392, 2001.
- 10. Katz, P., The stresses of rheumatoid arthritis: Appraisals of perceived impact and coping efficacy. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, Vol.11(1): 9–22, 1998, doi: 10.1002/art.1790110104.
- 11. Nouwen A1, Urquhart Law G, Hussain S, McGovern S, Napier H., Comparison of the role of self-efficacy and illness representations in relation to dietary self-care and diabetes distress in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Psychol Health.*, 24(9):1071-84, 2009, doi: 10.1080/08870440802254597.
- 12. Griva, K., LB Myers, S Newman, Illness perceptions and self-efficacy beliefs in adolescents and young adults with diabetes mellitus. *Psychol Health*, 15, 733–750, 2000.
- 13. Velitchkov, A. M.Radoslavova, M.Rasheva, Method of evaluation about generalized expectancies for received results (optimism and negative expectancies), *Bulgarian journal of psychology*, (3) 85-100, 1993.
- Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M., Generalized Self-Efficacy scale. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, & M. Johnston, Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs (35-37). Windsor, UK: NFER-NELSON, 1995.
- Lorig K, Stewart A, Ritter P, González V, Laurent D, & Lynch J, Outcome Measures for Health Education and other Health Care Interventions. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage Publications, 24-25,41-45, 1996.
- 16. Sherbourne CD, Stewart, The MOS Social Support Survey. *AL. Soc Sci. Med*, 32 (6):705-714, 1991.

- 17. Beck, A.T., Prisoners of hate: The cognitive basis of anger, hostility, and violence. New York: Harper Collins Publishers, 1999.
- 18. Ironson, G., Balbin, E., Stuetzle, R., Fletcher, M., O'Cleirigh, C., Laurenceau, J., et al., Dispositional optimism and the mechanisms by which it predicts slower disease progression in HIV: Proactive behavior, avoidant coping, and depression. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 12, 86–97, 2005.
- 19. Karademas, E. C., Kynigopoulou, E., Aghathangelou, E., & Anestis, D., The relation of illness representations to the 'end-stage' appraisal of outcomes through health status, and the moderating role of optimism. *Psychol Health*.; 26(5):567-83, 2011, doi: 10.1080/08870441003653488.
- 20. Llewellyn, C. D., McGurk, M., & Weinman, J., Illness and treatment beliefs in head and neck cancer: Is Leventhal's common sense model a useful framework for determiningchanges in outcomes over time? *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 63, 17–26, 2007.
- 21. Vollmann M., Scharloo M., Langguth B., Kalkouskaya N., Salewski C. Illness representations as mediators of the relationship between dispositional optimism and depression in patients with chronic tinnitus: A cross-sectional study. *Psychology & Health*, 29, 81–93, 2014.
- 22. Rasheva, M., Effect of optimism and negative expectancies on chronic disease adaptation. *Bulgarian journal of psychology*, 2, 34-55, 2007. ISSN 0861-7813.
- 23. Fournier, M., De, R. D., & Bensing, J., Optimism and adaptation to chronic disease: The role of optimism in relation to self-care options of type 1 diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 7, 409–432, 2002.
- 24. Livneh, H., Lott, S. & Antonak, R., Psychosocial Adaptation to Chronic Illness and Disability: A cluster analytic approach. Psychology, *Health & Medicine*, 9(4):411-430, 2004, doi:10.1080/1354850042000267030.
- 25. Taylor, S. E., Kemeny, M. E., Reed, G. M., Bower, J. E., & Gruenwald, T. L., Psychological resources, positive illusions, and health. *American Psychologist*, 55(1):99-109, 2000.
- 26. Karademas, Evangelos C., Konstantinos Kafetsios, Georgios D. Sideridis, Optimism, self-efficacy and information processing of threat-and well-being-related

- stimuli. *Stress and Health*, 285–294, 2007, doi: 10.1002/smi.1147.
- 27. Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Segerstrom, S. C., Optimism. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 879-889, 2010.
- 28. Warwick, M., Gallagher, R., Chenoweth, L., & Stein-Parbury, J., Self-management and symptom monitoring among older adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 66(4):784–793, 2010. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2009.05238.x
- 29. Xiuzhen Fan, Fei Lv., Psychosocial factors associated with self-efficacy for managing chronic disease in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*, 15(4): 255-261, 2016.
- 30. Cohen, S., Gottlieb, B., & Underwood, L., Social relationships and health. In S. Cohen, L. Underwood, & B. Gottlieb (Eds.), Measuring and intervening in social support (pp. 3–25). New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- 31. Petkova, M., Nikolov V., Galabova M., Petrova. B., Psychological assessment of cancer patients with chronic pain. Procedia Social and Behavioural Sciences, 5, 421-425, 2010.
- 32. Chapman, C. R., Psychological interventions or pain: potential mechanisms. In R. Payne, R. B. Patt, & C. S. Hill (Eds.), Assessment and treatment of cancer pain, vol.12. Progress in pain research and management (pp.145-156), 1998.
- 33. Groarke, A., Curtis, R., Coughlan, R., & Gsel, A., The impact of illness representations and disease activity on adjustment in women with rheumatoidarthritis: A longitudinal study. *Psychology & Health*, 20: 597-613, 2005, doi:10.1080/14768320500094177
- 34. Petkova, M., V. Nikolov., Predictors of pain intensity and life satisfaction in chronic pain patients. *Bulgarian Journal of Psychology*, 3-4:507-516, 2009.
- 35. Tomich, P. L., & Helgeson, V. S., Is finding something good in the bad old waysgood? Benefit finding among women with breast cancer. *Health Psychology*, 23(1), 16–23, 2004, doi: 10.1037/0278-6133.23.1.16
- 36. Foster N, Bishop A, Thomas E, Main C, Horne R, Weinman J, et al., Illness perceptions of low back pain patients in primary care: what are they, do they change and are they associated with outcome? *Pain*;136:177–87, 2008.
- 37. Hallas, CN, Wray, J, Andreou, P, & Banner, NR., Depression and perceptions

- about heart failure predict quality of life in patients with advanced heart failure. *Heart & Lung: The Journal of Acute and Critical Care*, 40(2):111–121, 2011, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2009.12.008
- 38. Eastwood, J, Moser, DK, Riegel, BJ, Albert, NM, Pressler, S, Chung, ML, Dunbar, S,Wu, J, & Lennie, TA., Commonalities and differences in correlates of depressive symptoms in men
- and women with heart failure. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 11(3), 356–365, 2012.
- 39. Seville JL, Robinson AB. Locus of control in the patient with chronic pain. In: Gatchel RJ, Weisberg JN, editors. Personality characteristics of patients with pain. American Psychological Association; Washington, DC, US (pp. 165–179), 2000, doi: 10.1037/10376-007.